

# CS 758/858: Algorithms

---

<http://www.cs.unh.edu/~ruml/cs758>

Applications

LPs

## Applications

- Max-Flow Thm
- Segmentation
- Matching
- Scheduling
- Break

LPs

---

# Applications of Cuts and Flows

# Max-Flow Min-Cut Theorem

---

## Applications

### ■ Max-Flow Thm

- Segmentation
- Matching
- Scheduling
- Break

## LPs

---

value of a flow = flow across any cut

any flow value  $\leq$  capacity of cut

Theorem: these are the same:

1.  $f$  is a maximum flow
2. the residual network  $G_f$  contains no augmenting paths
3. there exists a cut whose capacity is the value of  $f$

1=2: FF is correct; 1=3: FF also finds minimum cuts

# Image Segmentation

---

## Applications

■ Max-Flow Thm

■ Segmentation

■ Matching

■ Scheduling

■ Break

LPs

an image as a graph!

maximize

$$\sum_{i \in A} a_i + \sum_{i \in B} b_i - \sum_{e \text{ cut by } A} p_{i,j}$$

minimize

$$\sum_{i \in A} b_i + \sum_{i \in B} a_i + \sum_{e \text{ cut by } A} p_{i,j}$$

cut crosses three types of edges:  $s_i$ ,  $t_i$ , and  $p_{i,j}$

# Maximum Matching

---

## Applications

- Max-Flow Thm
- Segmentation
- Matching
- Scheduling
- Break

## LPs

---

bipartite graphs: jobs/machines, classes/instructors, ...

# Maximum Matching

---

## Applications

- Max-Flow Thm
- Segmentation
- Matching
- Scheduling
- Break

## LPs

---

bipartite graphs: jobs/machines, classes/instructors, ...

unit capacities

flow = matching

FF guarantees integer flow

running time? (hint: bound  $|f^*|$ )

# Scheduling

---

## Applications

- Max-Flow Thm
- Segmentation
- Matching
- Scheduling
- Break

## LPs

does a feasible schedule exist  
using only 3 machines (allowing preemption)?

job	1	2	3	4
processing time	1.5	1.25	2.1	3.6
release date	3	1	3	5
due date	5	4	7	9

# Scheduling

## Applications

- Max-Flow Thm
- Segmentation
- Matching
- Scheduling
- Break

## LPs

does a feasible schedule exist  
using only 3 machines (allowing preemption)?

job	1	2	3	4
processing time	1.5	1.25	2.1	3.6
release date	3	1	3	5
due date	5	4	7	9

arcs from  $s$  to jobs labeled with job size

intervals: 1–3–4–5–7–9

arcs from job to feasible intervals labeled with length of interval

arcs from interval to  $t$  labeled with total achievable work (num machines times length of interval)



# Break

---

## Applications

- Max-Flow Thm
- Segmentation
- Matching
- Scheduling
- Break

## LPs

---

- asst 11
- permissible research

Applications

LPs

- LPs
- Examples
- Example
- Example
- Example
- Example
- Beyond LPs
- Others
- EOLQs

# Linear Programming

# Linear Programming

---

Applications

LPs

■ LPs

■ Examples

■ Example

■ Example

■ Example

■ Example

■ Beyond LPs

■ Others

■ EOLQs

real variables, linear constraints, linear objective

eg, cheapest diet that meets nutrition guidelines

- decision variables:  $x_{broccoli}, x_{carrots}, \dots$
- minimize:  $cost = 4.99x_{broccoli} + 2.67x_{carrots} \dots$
- subject to:  $500 < y_{vitaminA} = 2.3x_{broccoli} + 1.7x_{carrots} \dots$
- $300 < y_{vitaminC} = 1.7x_{broccoli} + 5.2x_{carrots} \dots$

polynomial time (ellipsoid, Karmarkar's), but simplex method is popular

CPLEX, Gurobi, Ipsolve

# Example LPs

---

## Applications

## LPs

■ LPs

■ **Examples**

■ Example

■ Example

■ Example

■ Example

■ Beyond LPs

■ Others

■ EOLQs

- shortest paths
- max flow obeying capacities
- min-cost flow meeting demand
- multicommodity flow meeting demands
- earliest finish time subject to job durations

# Example: Max Flow

---

Applications

LPs

- LPs
- Examples
- **Example**
- Example
- Example
- Example
- Beyond LPs
- Others
- EOLQs

maximize  $\sum_v f(s, v) - \sum_v f(v, s)$   
subject to

$$f(u, v) \geq 0 \text{ for every edge } (u, v)$$

$$\sum_v f(u, v) = \sum_v f(v, u) \text{ for every vertex } u$$

$$f(u, v) \leq c(u, v) \text{ for every edge } (u, v)$$

# Example: Shortest Path

---

Applications

LPs

- LPs
- Examples
- Example
- **Example**
- Example
- Example
- Beyond LPs
- Others
- EOLQs

maximize  $d_t$

subject to

$$d_v \leq d_u + w(u, v) \text{ for every edge } (u, v)$$

$$d_s = 0$$

# Min-cost Flow Meeting Demand

## Applications

### LPs

- LPs
- Examples
- Example
- Example
- Example
- Example
- Beyond LPs
- Others
- EOLQs

minimize  $\sum_{(u,v)} a(u,v) f(u,v)$   
subject to

$$f(u,v) \geq 0 \text{ for every edge } (u,v)$$

$$\sum_v f(u,v) = \sum_v f(v,u) \text{ for every vertex } u$$

$$f(u,v) \leq c(u,v) \text{ for every edge } (u,v)$$

$$\sum_v f(s,v) - \sum_v f(v,s) = d$$

# Multicommodity Flow

## Applications

## LPs

- LPs
- Examples
- Example
- Example
- Example
- Example
- Beyond LPs
- Others
- EOLQs

minimize 0  
subject to

$$\sum_v f_i(u, v) - \sum_v f_i(v, u) = 0$$

$$f_i(u, v) \geq 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^k f_i(u, v) \leq c(u, v)$$

$$\sum_v f_i(s_i, v) - \sum_v f_i(v, s_i) = d_i$$



# Beyond Linear Programming

---

## Applications

### LPs

- LPs
- Examples
- Example
- Example
- Example
- Example
- Example
- Beyond LPs
- Others
- EOLQs

**convex programming:** constraints and objective are convex  
polynomial time

**quadratic programming:** constraints and objective are quadratic

some forms are polynomial time

**integer linear programming:** integer variables, linear constraints and objective

NP-complete

**0-1 ILP:** 0-1 variables, linear constraints, linear objective  
(BIP) NP-complete

**combinatorial optimization:** variables are discrete

## Applications

## LPs

- LPs
- Examples
- Example
- Example
- Example
- Example
- Beyond LPs
- Others
- EOLQs

selection

multicommodity flow is NP-hard for integer flows. Use LP for fractional flows.

## Applications

### LPs

- LPs
- Examples
- Example
- Example
- Example
- Example
- Beyond LPs
- Others

### ■ EOLQs

For example:

- What's still confusing?
- What question didn't you get to ask today?
- What would you like to hear more about?

Please write down your most pressing question about algorithms and put it in the box on your way out.

*Thanks!*