http://www.cs.unh.edu/~ruml/cs758
COVID

- check your Wildcat Pass before coming to campus
- if you have concerns, let me know
Shortest Path Problems
Problems

- Single source/destination pair
- Single source, all destinations: harder?
- Single destination, all sources
- All-pairs
- Non-uniform weights?
- Negative weights?
- Negative-weight cycles?
optimal substructure
triangle inequality: $\delta(s, v) \leq \delta(s, u) + w(u, v)$
‘relaxing’: constraint is met
Dijkstra’s Algorithm
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Edsger W. Dijkstra

1930–2002; Turing Award ’72
invented RPN, self-stabilizing
algorithms, semaphores

The goto statement
considered harmful
structured programming
(while!), formal verification

“I mean, if 10 years from now,
when you are doing something
quick and dirty, you suddenly
visualize that I am looking
over your shoulders and say to
yourself ‘Dijkstra would not
have liked this,’ well, that
would be enough immortality
for me.”
The Algorithm

1. for each vertex, \( v.d \leftarrow \infty \)
2. \( s.d \leftarrow 0 \)
3. \( Q \leftarrow \) all vertices
4. while \( Q \) is not empty
5. \( u \leftarrow \) remove vertex in \( Q \) with smallest \( d \)
6. for each edge \((u, v)\) from \( u \)
7. if \( v.d > u.d + w(u, v) \)
8. \( v.d \leftarrow u.d + w(u, v) \)
9. \( v.\pi \leftarrow u \)

correctness?
running time?
negative weights?
Correctness

Key property: popped vertices have $d = \delta$

Proof by induction.

**Base case:** $s$

**Assumption:** previously popped vertices have $d = \delta$

**Inductive Step:** proof by contradiction. Consider freshly popped $v$. Assume its current path is too long. Since $d = \delta$ for all previously popped, then if $v$’s predecessor along the optimal path were previously popped, then $v.d$ would be correct. So there exists an unpopped vertex $u$ along the shortest path. Let $u$ be the first such vertex in the path.

Note $u.d = \delta(s, u)$. Since it is earlier on the optimal path, $u.d = \delta(s, u) \leq \delta(s, v) < v.d$. But then we would have popped $u$ instead of $v$: contradiction!
Running Time

\[ O((V + E) \lg V) \]
\[ O(V \lg V + E) \] using a Fibonacci heap
\[ O(V + E) \] for compact distances using a bucket heap (‘monotone heap’)
Break
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A Faster Algorithm
An Algorithm for DAGs

1. for each vertex, \( v.d \leftarrow \infty \)
2. \( s.d \leftarrow 0 \)
4. for each vertex \( u \) in topologically sorted order
6. for each successor \( v \)
7. if \( v.d > u.d + w(u,v) \)
8. \( v.d \leftarrow u.d + w(u,v) \)
9. \( v.\pi \leftarrow u \)

correctness?
running time?
edge weights?
Bellman-Ford
1. for each vertex, \( v.d \leftarrow \infty \)
2. \( s.d \leftarrow 0 \)
3. repeat \(|V|\) times
4. for each edge \((u, v)\)
5. if \( v.d > u.d + w(u, v) \)
6. \( v.d \leftarrow u.d + w(u, v) \)
7. \( v.\pi \leftarrow u \)

correctness?
running time? how to make it faster?
negative cycles?
**Correctness**

**Path relaxation:** If we relax all the edges along a shortest \( u, v \) path in order, then \( v.d = \delta(u, v) \), even if other relaxations are performed. Proof: induction on length of path

**Bellman-Ford:** Proof: Consider a shortest path. Its length will be \( \leq |V| - 1 \). Each Bellman-Ford iteration considers all edges.
For example:

- What’s still confusing?
- What question didn’t you get to ask today?
- What would you like to hear more about?

Please write down your most pressing question about algorithms and put it in the box on your way out.

*Thanks!*