

<http://www.cs.unh.edu/~ruml/cs758>

- Graphs
- Breadth-first
- The Algorithm
- Full Algorithm
- Break
- Factoids
- Proof
- Depth-first Search
- Edges
- EOLQs

Graph Traversal

directed, arc/edge, weighted, labeled. drawings
representation
relations \rightarrow edges
cycle, DAG, tree, planar

Graph Traversal

■ Graphs

■ Breadth-first

■ The Algorithm

■ Full Algorithm

■ Break

■ Factoids

■ Proof

■ Depth-first Search

■ Edges

■ EOLQs

Breadth-first Search

traversal: graph \rightarrow forest

Graph Traversal

■ Graphs

■ Breadth-first

■ The Algorithm

■ Full Algorithm

■ Break

■ Factoids

■ Proof

■ Depth-first Search

■ Edges

■ EOLQs

1. foreach vertex, label it undiscovered and $v.d \leftarrow \infty$
2. $start$'s label \leftarrow discovered, $d \leftarrow 0$, $\pi \leftarrow \text{nil}$
3. $Q \leftarrow \{start\}$
4. while Q not empty
5. $u \leftarrow \text{dequeue}(Q)$
6. foreach neighbor v of u
7. if v is undiscovered
8. label v discovered, $v.d \leftarrow u.d + 1$, $v.\pi \leftarrow u$
9. enqueue v in Q
10. label u finished

Which vertices does Q hold (at line 4)?
Do we really need all the labels?
What's the time complexity?

```
1. foreach vertex, label it undiscovered and  $v.d \leftarrow \infty$ 
2. foreach vertex  $s$ 
3.   if  $s$  is undiscovered
4.      $s.label \leftarrow$  discovered,  $s.d \leftarrow 0$ ,  $s.\pi \leftarrow$  nil
5.      $Q \leftarrow \{s\}$ 
6.     while  $Q$  not empty
7.        $n \leftarrow$  dequeue( $Q$ )
8.       foreach neighbor  $v$  of  $n$ 
9.         if  $v$  is undiscovered
10.          label  $v$  discovered,  $v.d \leftarrow n.d + 1$ ,  $v.\pi \leftarrow n$ 
11.          enqueue  $v$  in  $Q$ 
12.          label  $n$  finished
```

What's the time complexity?

- schedule: no class next Tue
- midterm
- asst 7
- asst 8 posted and recommended
- wildcard vote in one month
- join ACM for \$19

- Graph Traversal
- Graphs
- Breadth-first
- The Algorithm
- Full Algorithm
- Break
- Factoids
- Proof
- Depth-first Search
- Edges
- EOLQs

1. Distances we assign always stay the same or go down.

$$2. v.d \geq \delta(s, v)$$

Proof: Show $v.d \geq \delta(s, v) \forall v$ via induction over iterations:

Holds at start.

$$v.d \text{ is updated to } u.d + 1 \geq \delta(s, u) + 1 \geq \delta(s, v).$$

3. d values in queue are nondecreasing and last in queue exceeds first by at most 1.

Proof: By induction. True when queue is s .

Preserved by dequeuing.

Enqueue: $\text{new.d} = \text{removed.d} + 1 \leq \text{first.d} + 1$ and

$$\text{last.d} \leq \text{removed.d} + 1 = \text{new.d}.$$

4. At termination, $v.d = \delta(s, v)$ = shortest path length

- Graph Traversal
- Breadth-first
- The Algorithm
- Full Algorithm
- Break
- Factoids
- Proof
- Depth-first Search
- Edges
- EOLQs

Claim: at termination, $v.d = \delta(s, v)$ = shortest path length

Consider v with minimum incorrect distance, and u that is before it on a shortest path. $v.d > \delta(u) + 1 = u.d + 1$. When u is dequeued:

if v is undiscovered, it would then be correct, contradiction.
 if v is already finished, then $v.d \leq u.d$, contradiction.
 if v is discovered, let w be predecessor. $v.d = w.d + 1$ and $w.d \leq u.d$ so $v.d \leq u.d + 1$, contradiction.

DFS

1. for all vertices, label \leftarrow undiscovered
2. DFS-visit(*start*)

DFS-visit(*n*)

3. label *n* discovered
4. for each neighbor *v* of *n*
5. if *v* is undiscovered
6. $v.\pi \leftarrow n$
7. DFS-visit(*v*)
8. label *n* finished

What's the time complexity?

Discovery and finish times are parenthesized
Vs breadth-first?

tree: in depth-first tree

back: connects to ancestor in tree

forward: non-tree edge connecting to descendant in tree

cross: others: non-ancestors/non-descendants or different DFS

tree

when edge is explored, label of arc dest gives type

For example:

■ What's still confusing?

■ What question didn't you get to ask today?

■ What would you like to hear more about?

Please write down your most pressing question about algorithms

and put it in the box on your way out.

Thanks!