

# CS 758/858: Algorithms

---

<http://www.cs.unh.edu/~ruml/cs758>

[Shortest Paths](#)

[Dijkstra's Algorithm](#)

[A Faster Algorithm](#)

[Bellman-Ford](#)

## Shortest Paths

- Problems
- Properties

Dijkstra's Algorithm

A Faster Algorithm

Bellman-Ford

# Shortest Path Problems

# Problems

---

Shortest Paths

■ Problems

■ Properties

Dijkstra's Algorithm

A Faster Algorithm

Bellman-Ford

single source/destination pair  
single source, all destinations: harder?  
single destination, all sources  
all-pairs

non-uniform weights?  
negative weights?  
negative-weight cycles?

# Properties

---

Shortest Paths

■ Problems

■ Properties

Dijkstra's Algorithm

A Faster Algorithm

Bellman-Ford

optimal substructure

path constraint:  $\delta(s, v) \leq \delta(s, u) + w(u, v)$

not really triangle inequality

'relaxing': constraint is met

Shortest Paths

**Dijkstra's Algorithm**

- The Man
- The Algorithm
- Correctness
- Running Time
- Break

A Faster Algorithm

Bellman-Ford

# Dijkstra's Algorithm

# Edsger W. Dijkstra

---

[Shortest Paths](#)

[Dijkstra's Algorithm](#)

■ [The Man](#)

■ [The Algorithm](#)

■ [Correctness](#)

■ [Running Time](#)

■ [Break](#)

[A Faster Algorithm](#)

[Bellman-Ford](#)

1930–2002; Turing Award '72  
invented RPN, self-stabilizing  
algorithms, semaphores  
*The goto statement  
considered harmful*  
structured programming  
(while!), formal verification

“I mean, if 10 years from now,  
when you are doing something  
quick and dirty, you suddenly  
visualize that I am looking  
over your shoulders and say to  
yourself ‘Dijkstra would not  
have liked this,’ well, that  
would be enough immortality  
for me.”



# The Algorithm

---

Shortest Paths

Dijkstra's Algorithm

■ The Man

■ **The Algorithm**

■ Correctness

■ Running Time

■ Break

A Faster Algorithm

Bellman-Ford

1. for each vertex,  $v.d \leftarrow \infty$
2.  $s.d \leftarrow 0$
3.  $Q \leftarrow$  all vertices
4. while  $Q$  is not empty
5.      $u \leftarrow$  remove vertex in  $Q$  with smallest  $d$
6.     for each edge  $(u, v)$  from  $u$
7.         if  $v.d > u.d + w(u, v)$
8.              $v.d \leftarrow u.d + w(u, v)$
9.              $v.\pi \leftarrow u$

correctness?

running time?

negative weights?

# Correctness

---

## Shortest Paths

### Dijkstra's Algorithm

- The Man
- The Algorithm
- **Correctness**
- Running Time
- Break

### A Faster Algorithm

### Bellman-Ford

Key property: popped vertices have  $d = \delta$

Proof by induction.

**Base case:**  $s$

**Assumption:** previously popped vertices have  $d = \delta$

**Inductive Step:** proof by contradiction. Consider freshly popped  $v$ . Assume its current path is too long.

Since  $d = \delta$  for all previously popped, then if  $v$ 's predecessor along the optimal path were previously popped, then  $v.d$  would be correct. So there exists an unpopped vertex  $u$  along the shortest path. Let  $u$  be the first such vertex in the path.

Note  $u.d = \delta(s, u)$ . Since it is earlier on the optimal path,  $u.d = \delta(s, u) \leq \delta(s, v) < v.d$ . But then we would have popped  $u$  instead of  $v$ : contradiction!



# Running Time

---

## Shortest Paths

### Dijkstra's Algorithm

- The Man
- The Algorithm
- Correctness
- **Running Time**
- Break

### A Faster Algorithm

### Bellman-Ford

$O((V + E) \lg V)$

$O(V \lg V + E)$  using a Fibonacci heap

$O(V + E)$  for compact integer distances using a bucket heap  
(‘monotone heap’)

# Break

---

Shortest Paths

Dijkstra's Algorithm

- The Man
- The Algorithm
- Correctness
- Running Time

■ Break

A Faster Algorithm

Bellman-Ford

- asst 9
- asst 10
- asst 11

Shortest Paths

Dijkstra's Algorithm

**A Faster Algorithm**

■ DAGs

■ Correctness

Bellman-Ford

# A Faster Algorithm

# An Algorithm for DAGs

---

Shortest Paths

Dijkstra's Algorithm

A Faster Algorithm

■ DAGs

■ Correctness

Bellman-Ford

1. for each vertex,  $v.d \leftarrow \infty$
2.  $s.d \leftarrow 0$
4. for each vertex  $u$  in topologically sorted order
6.     for each successor  $v$
7.         if  $v.d > u.d + w(u, v)$
8.              $v.d \leftarrow u.d + w(u, v)$
9.              $v.\pi \leftarrow u$

correctness?

running time?

edge weights?

# Correctness

---

[Shortest Paths](#)

[Dijkstra's Algorithm](#)

[A Faster Algorithm](#)

■ DAGs

■ **Correctness**

[Bellman-Ford](#)

**Path relaxation:** If we relax all the edges along a shortest  $u, v$  path in order, then  $v.d = \delta(u, v)$ , even if other relaxations are performed. Proof: induction on length of path

Shortest Paths

Dijkstra's Algorithm

A Faster Algorithm

**Bellman-Ford**

■ Psuedo-Code

■ Correctness

■ EOLQs

# Bellman-Ford

# Pseudo-Code

---

Shortest Paths

Dijkstra's Algorithm

A Faster Algorithm

Bellman-Ford

■ Pseudo-Code

■ Correctness

■ EOLQs

1. for each vertex,  $v.d \leftarrow \infty$
2.  $s.d \leftarrow 0$
3. repeat  $|V|$  times
4.     for each edge  $(u, v)$
5.         if  $v.d > u.d + w(u, v)$
6.              $v.d \leftarrow u.d + w(u, v)$
7.              $v.\pi \leftarrow u$

correctness?

running time? how to make it faster?

negative cycles?

# Correctness

---

[Shortest Paths](#)

[Dijkstra's Algorithm](#)

[A Faster Algorithm](#)

[Bellman-Ford](#)

■ Psuedo-Code

■ **Correctness**

■ EOLQs

**Path relaxation:** If we relax all the edges along a shortest  $u, v$  path in order, then  $v.d = \delta(u, v)$ , even if other relaxations are performed. Proof: induction on length of path

**Bellman-Ford:** Proof: Consider a shortest path. Its length will be  $\leq |V| - 1$ . Each Bellman-Ford iteration considers all edges.



[Shortest Paths](#)

[Dijkstra's Algorithm](#)

[A Faster Algorithm](#)

[Bellman-Ford](#)

■ Psuedo-Code

■ Correctness

■ **EOLQs**

For example:

- What's still confusing?
- What question didn't you get to ask today?
- What would you like to hear more about?

Please write down your most pressing question about algorithms and put it in the box on your way out.

*Thanks!*