WHEN OPPORTUNITY PROCEEDS FROM AUTONOMY:

A TOUR-BASED ARCHITECTURE FOR DISCONNECTED MOBILE SENSORS

Michel Charpentier Radim Bartoš Swapnil Bhatia

University of New Hampshire

AOC'2009

CONTEXT AND PROBLEM DEFINITION

Sensing agents with autonomous mobility work cooperatively towards a mission in a vast and challenging environment.



Illustration:

Solar-powered Autonomous Underwater Vehicles (SAUVs)

using acoustic communication

Assumptions:

- agents need to communicate in order to cooperate;
- environmental challenges hinder or prevent long-range communication;
- agents need to move (e.g., towards each other) to communicate;
- agents need to move (possibly away from each other) to sense;
- agents may break down in a variety of ways.

MOTION, COMMUNICATION, MISSION



- communication enabled by motion, and autonomous motion driven by a mission;
- impossibility (vastness, communication ranges) or undesirability (stealth missions, low-power devices) to maintain global network;
- standard networking apparatus available only to groups of agents.

Disconnected agents:

no global network, even with multi-hops and high latency:

- agents form groups and interact locally, given the opportunity;
- mission implementations are designed in terms of these groups (instead of a more standard address-based, packet-routing abstraction)

Complex motion design:

motion used for individual tasks and for communication:

- low-level motion primitives result in complex or fragile designs;
- instead, sensing, motion and communication are combined into new high-level building blocks;
- robust implementation of these building blocks is achieved through a supporting architecture.

→ tour-based architecture

Charpentier, Bartoš, Bhatia (UNH)



Tours, Meeting Points and Tour Networks





• agents are in charge of tours:

- an area to scan
- a collection fo meeting points
- a periodic schedule of meetings
- tours are assembled into tour networks to implement missions in which agents only interact at meeting points





TRAJECTORIES, MEETINGS AND JOINT OPERATIONS

- an individual agent **trajectory** travels the tour area while visiting meeting points according to the schedule
- groups of agents form at meeting points to perform joint operations designed in accordance to the mission
- trajectories are implemented using low-level motion primitives
- joint operations are implemented using existing techniques from ad-hoc networking and distributed computing





TOUR-SUPPORTING ARCHITECTURE



- Mission layer: initial, stable-state, tour-based design
- Tour network transformation layer: tour network reconfiguration (adaptation, repair), may involve coordination among agents
- Tour implementation layer: current tour execution (design and follow a suitable trajectory, attend meetings)
- Control layer: low-level implementation of motion, sensing and communication involved in a trajectory and its meetings



tour-based design and its desirable properties (to be maintained by transformations), ...

- tour area to monitor, meeting points to travel to, schedule of meetings, computation steps to perform, ...
- motion commands to follow current trajectory, communication commands to interact at meetings, sensing commands, ...

Current motion, communication and sensing capabilities, data on environment (e.g., presence of other agents), ...

Status of last meetings (e.g., missing agents), expected delays, requests for tour modifications, ...

TOUR-NETWORK TRANSFORMATIONS: ILLUSTRATION



- **transformations** are triggered by **failures**, the need to **adapt** to environmental changes, or other transformations;
- they impact tour network topology and geometry;
- hence, they impact scanning rates and information propagation;
- they involve tradeoffs between optimality and locality.

TOUR-NETWORK TRANSFORMATIONS: DEFINING PROBLEMS

Tour-network metrics:

Problem: different transformations offer different benefits

- scanning rates: minimum, average, gaps without visits, ...
- information propagation: agent to agent, one to many, from inside to the edges, from the edges, ...
- meeting size: min, max, ...
- trajectory: length, complexity of schedules, number of meetings, areas visited/ignored, ...
- **robustness:** resilience to further failures and changes, availability of further transformations, ...

Implementation:

- <u>Problem:</u> transformations need be implemented locally and reliably
 - joint decision at meetings or distributed consensus from several independent meetings
 - agents must maintain approximations of the states of other agents for certain

neighborhoods

• recovery from failed transformations

Charpentier, Bartoš, Bhatia (UNH)

TOUR-NETWORK TRANSFORMATIONS: EXAMPLES



- transformations in terms of existing area and meeting points
- tour areas can overlap
- several agents can share the same trajectory
- simple **bouncing / crossing** rules and **local** knowledge can lead to powerful transformations

Charpentier, Bartoš, Bhatia (UNH)

- definition of metrics to evaluate transformations:
 - average scanning rate, esp. areas with suboptimal rates
 - information propagation, esp. in relation to geometric distances
- definition of **specific** transformations:
 - for regular and non regular tour network graphs
 - implemented as distributed protocols
- implementation of a Java based simulator:
 - simulation of transformations
 - evaluation of metrics
 - visualization of network behavior
- tour-based design of sample missions
- investigations of **formal models** for group-based computations (self-similar algorithms, population protocols)
- field experiments with Sun Spots mounted on Roombas